Safeguarding Traditional Knowledge in India: The Role of Digitization and Documentation

Mousumi Guha Banerjee*

Abstract

Traditional Knowledge (TK) is an essential component of cultural heritage, embodying the collective wisdom, practices, and innovations of indigenous and local communities. Rooted in generational experience and closely tied to environmental and societal interactions, TK influences various domains, including agriculture, healthcare, and resource management. Despite its cultural and economic significance, TK has been increasingly vulnerable to misappropriation and biopiracy due to inadequate documentation and legal protection. This paper explores the role of digital documentation and preservation in safeguarding TK, with a particular focus on the Indian scenario. India has emerged as a global leader in digitizing TK through initiatives such as the Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL), aiming to protect indigenous knowledge from unauthorized commercial exploitation. The study examines the challenges of defining 'prior art' in the context of TK within the patent system, highlighting the need for legal frameworks that recognize and safeguard community-held knowledge. Furthermore, the paper discusses various digital library initiatives and policy frameworks that facilitate the integration of TK into sustainable development efforts. By leveraging digital technologies, India not only ensures the preservation of its rich traditional heritage but also reinforces equitable access and benefit-sharing mechanisms for indigenous communities. The findings underscore the urgency of integrating intellectual property rights, community engagement, and digital strategies to create a sustainable and inclusive system for TK documentation and protection.

* Professor, English Literature, The English and Foreign Languages University (EFLU), Regional Campus, Shillong, Meghalaya - 793 022. Can be reached at gmousumibanerjee@efluniversity.ac.in

Keywords: Traditional Knowledge (TK), Digitization and Preservation, Biopiracy and Intellectual Property, Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL), Prior Art and Patent Protection, Indigenous Knowledge and Cultural Heritage

Traditional Knowledge (TK) can be considered to be the result of intellectual activity and includes the know-how, skills, innovations, practices, and learning by tradition-based people. It is embodied in the traditional lifestyle of a community or people and passed between generations. TK is local knowledge. In other words, it is knowledge that is unique to a given culture or society. It is a system or process that helps in local-level decision-making in agriculture, health care, preparation of food, and in some other activities of rural communities. It is the information base for a society that facilitates communication and decision-making. Generally, it is associated with the environment. It is a body of knowledge that is formed by a group of people through generations living in close contact with nature. It includes a system of classification, a set of empirical observations about the local environment, and a system of self-management that governs resource use. (1) The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), in its fact-finding mission report (2), uses the term 'traditional knowledge' to refer to '… tradition-based literary, artistic or scientific works; performances; inventions; scientific discoveries; designs; marks; names and symbols; undisclosed information and all other tradition-based innovations and creations resulting from intellectual activity in the industrial, scientific, literary or artistic fields.' Here the term 'tradition-based' refers to knowledge systems, creations, innovations, and cultural expressions that have generally been transmitted from generation to generation; are generally regarded as about a particular people or their territory; and are constantly evolving in response to a changing environment. (3) Among the various categories of traditional knowledge

listed in the report, WIPO includes agricultural, scientific, technical, and medicinal knowledge, and biodiversity-related knowledge. (4) WIPO has given a separate definition of 'indigenous knowledge', suggesting on the one hand, that such knowledge is 'traditional knowledge of indigenous peoples, thereby making "indigenous knowledge" a subset of traditional knowledge. (5) In this sense, 'indigenous knowledge' is described as 'knowledge held and used by communities, peoples and nations that are 'indigenous'. (6) On the other hand, 'traditional knowledge' and 'indigenous knowledge' could be interchangeable if we consider the term 'indigenous' to mean 'belonging to or specific to a particular place'. (7) Some writers often use these two terms interchangeably (8), but some other writers (9) have created distinctions between these two terms. According to them, all indigenous knowledge is traditional, but not all traditional knowledge is necessarily indigenous. Thus, traditional people are not necessarily indigenous, but indigenous peoples are traditional.

Not all TK originates from indigenous peoples. However, it can be said that over 95% of TK is derived from them. IK is a subset of TK. Therefore, it can be maintained that 'indigenous knowledge' is the 'knowledge' held and used by communities, peoples, and nations that are 'indigenous'. (10) Traditional Knowledge is thus the totality of all knowledge and practices, whether explicit or implicit, used in the management of socio-economic and ecological facets of life. This knowledge is established in past experiences and observations. It is transmitted from one generation to the next. TK is dynamic and changes its character as the needs of the people change. TK cannot be isolated from traditional people. Many members of a particular society contribute to it over time. So, it is collective and is often considered the property of the entire community without belonging to any single individual within the community. It is 'traditional' because it is created in a manner that highlights and embodies the traditions of the community. It is not related to the nature of the knowledge itself but to how that knowledge is created, preserved, and disseminated. (11)

So, from the above discussion, some of the essential features of TK that can be drawn are as follows:

(i) TK is recorded and transmitted orally from one generation to another.
(ii) It is learned through observation and experience.
(iii) It is qualitative and not quantitative.
(iv) It is based on the date generated by resource users themselves and not by any specialized group of researchers.
(v) It collects experiences from the environment – experiences that are checked, verified, revised, and improved with time by the communities.

Over the past few years, the protection of TK or IK (12) has received great significance in the present time, not only in India but all over the world. TK can be protected by using various instruments or mechanisms of intellectual property (IP). One of such instruments constitutes patents. However, the patent system is criticized for its failure to prevent the misappropriation of TK. One of the fundamental principles of granting a patent is that it is not granted for any invention if there is 'prior art' in respect of that invention. India has already experienced some flagrant, hypocritical, alarming, and shameless biopiracy in the hands of some Multi-National Corporations (MNCs) and foreign opportunists. TK plays an important role in strengthening the national economy. This is more applicable in a developing country like India. Today, we live in a knowledge-based economy. Despite the growing recognition of TK as a valuable source of knowledge and economy, we did not take any steps to protect TK through documentation for a long time. This documentation of TK through digitization will help us protect TK on the grounds of 'prior art'. Many countries of the world, including India, have started documenting TK only recently, and India stands as the forerunner among these nations. However, this paper aims to explore the definition, nature, significance, and relevance of TK in India. It also examines the process of documentation of TK through digitization in India, the definitional issues regarding 'prior art', and the importance of the concept within the patent system. The relevance of TK as 'prior art' in the patent system is also a concern in the present study. The digital library initiatives taken up by India to protect TK have been highlighted, followed by some recommendations for a planned digital resource development programme in the country.

Relevance and Importance of Traditional Knowledge

TK is a part of the cultural identities of indigenous and local communities. The present age is an age of knowledge, and we live in a knowledge economy. Knowledge, technology, and resources form the basis of the development of all economies. This is also applicable in the case of traditional societies. People of such societies completely depend upon this knowledge. They not only consume and use knowledge-based goods but also transfer them, receive them, share them, own them, and exchange them with others for their livelihood. So, TK improves the lives of millions of TK holders and the communities to which they belong. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), up to 80% of the world's population depends on traditional medicine for its primary health needs. (13) TK has a great impact on the strength of the national economy. Traditional

medicines in the form of medicinal plants are used in pharmaceutical industries. It is also used as input in some other industries such as botanical medicines, cosmetics and toiletries, agriculture, and biological pesticides, etc. Some of the traditional systems of medicine practiced in developing countries include Ayurveda, Acupressure, Siddha, Unani, Acupuncture, Yoga, Homoeopathy, Meditation, etc. TK helps to conserve the environment. Members of the traditional community plant trees and take part in afforestation programmes. TK also prevents biopiracy. Traditional communities conserve, maintain, enhance, and act as guardians of natural and biological resources.

The importance of TK can be perceived by considering the simple facts that nearly 85% to 90% of the basic livelihood needs of the world's poor are based on direct use of biological resources and related TK for food, medicine, shelter, transport, etc. Over 1.4 billion poor farmers rely on farm-saved seeds and local plant breeding techniques as their primary source of seed. TK plays an important role in finding or developing a useful natural compound. (14) It is a part of a well-developed body of knowledge widely known in a particular culture. The minority populations widely use it for their survival. So, it can well be said that TK has an ever-increasing importance and is fast gaining ground.

Documentation and Protection of TK: Relevance of 'Prior Art' and Patent

A patent can be defined as an exclusive right granted for an invention to the inventor for a limited period. It is the grant of a monopoly to an inventor who has used his knowledge and skills to give birth to a product or process that is new, involves an inventive step, and is capable of industrial application. This monopoly is limited in time, and the inventor can exercise an exclusive right over the invention, thereby enjoying commercial benefits. Another condition of granting a patent is the requirement for disclosure. The inventor must disclose the invention before the authority for the grant of a patent. Each patent specification is a detailed disclosure of the invention, and it is, of course, this aspect that is particularly valuable as a rich source of technical information. Patent specifications are a source of valuable technical information readily available, and much of it is free for the taking. (15) Disclosing traditional knowledge, which forms a part of the invention and the state of the art or prior art, will promote the progress of science by creating an incentive for the maintenance of TK systems. 'Novelty' is one of the most important conditions for granting a patent. To get a patent, the invention must be novel. Again, an invention is new if it is not anticipated by prior art. The term 'prior art' refers to 'prior knowledge'. Article 54 (2) of the EPC (European Patent Application) defines 'prior art' (the state of the art) as comprising '…everything made available to the public using a written or oral description, by use, or in any other way, before filing of the European Patent Application.' (16) Article 15 (1) and (2) of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) establish that international applications will be subject to a global search. This search aims at relevant prior art which for the PCT is defined by Rule 331 of the PCT Regulations as '… everything which has been made available to the public anywhere in the world utilizing written disclosure (including drawings and other illustrations) and which is capable of being of assistance in determining that the claimed invention is or is not new and that it does or does not involve an inventive step (i.e., that it is or is not obvious), provided that the making available to the public occurred before the international filing date.' (17) Again, Article 15 (4) of PCT says that the International Searching Authority will '… endeavor to discover as much of the relevant prior art as its facilities permit, and shall, in any case, consult the documentation specified in the Regulation.' (18) An International Searching Authority must consult the so-called minimum documentation at the time of searching prior art.

A patent, however, is not new if there is prior art or knowledge before the date of relevant filing or the priority date of a patent application. A patent claim is invalidated if the invention claimed in it was previously available to the public. The proper assessment of an invention will always depend on the date on which the invention is to be considered. (19) If it is found that there was prior art on that date, the patent will be rejected. This restriction is also applicable in case of any invention in the field of TK. Most of the patents in this field have been granted for inventions that did not meet the fundamental requirements for patentability, specifically the requirements of novelty and inventiveness. The boundary and periphery of TK are so vast that it is difficult to know the total gamut of TK of every corner of the world, as there is no documentary evidence of endless TK. However, patent examiners should consider whether there is any prior art in the relevant invention at the time of examination of a patent application. If there is prior art, the application should be rejected, and this would, in turn, assist in the prevention of 'biopiracy'. Prior art or the state of the art generally refers to the complete body of knowledge that is available to the public before a patent application is filed or before a priority date that is claimed. The novelty condition is fulfilled if the condition does not involve any prior art. The inventive step of an invention will be achieved when it is not obvious to a person skilled in the art, taking into account any matter which forms a part of the state of the art.

(20) So, the prior art of TK may be an effective instrument to prevent exploitation of TK and also prevent biopiracy. The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), which came into force in 1993, has three objectives consisting of 'the conservation of biological diversity, sustainable use of its components and fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilization of genetic resources.' Article 8 (j) of the CBD inter alia, says that parties to the CBD must respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity and promote their wider application with the approval and involvement of the holders of such knowledge, innovations and practices and encourage the equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of such knowledge, innovations and practices. The international negotiations on the CBD that deal with legal solutions to the protection of TK have considered, inter alia, the following:

- National and international sui generis regions
- Specific measures for protection, such as TK databases and disclosure of the origin of genetic resources and associated TK in patent applications
- Principles such as prior informed consent and respect for customary law, etc.

So, documentation of TK through digitization may not only help the traditional community in protecting their TK but also help the country to prevent biopiracy. India has already experienced some flagrant violations of TK. Some of these areas appear below:

The Turmeric Case

Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) is a plant belonging to the ginger family, yielding saffron-coloured rhizomes used as a spice for flavouring Indian dishes. It is also used in several medicinal products, cosmetic products, and as a colour dye. In March 1995, however, two Indians at the University of Mississippi Medical Centre were granted a US patent for turmeric to be used to heal wounds. (21) The Indian Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) filed a case with the US Patent Office challenging the patent on the grounds of 'prior art'. CSIR presented an ancient Sanskrit text and a paper published in 1953 in the *Journal of the Indian Medical Association,* and also some other documents in support of the claim of 'prior art'. The US patent office upheld the objection and rejected the patent on the grounds of 'prior art'.

The Neem Case

Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) is a tree mostly grown in India and other parts of South and Southeast Asia. It is used for many purposes as a natural medicine, pesticide, and fertilizer. The European Patent Office (EPO) granted a European patent (22) in 1994 to the US Corporation W.R. Grace for a 'method for controlling fungi on plants by the aid of a hydrophobic extracted neem oil.' In 1995, a group of international NGOs and representatives of Indian farmers filed a legal objection and opposition against the patent on the ground that the subject matter of the patent had been known and used for centuries in India, and hence the invention was not novel. Ultimately, the patent was revoked by the EPO in 2000.

The Basmati Case

Basmati is a variety of rice from India and Pakistan. It originated in this region and is a major export crop for both countries. In 1997, the US rice breeding firm Rice Tec Inc. was awarded a patent (23) relating to plants and seeds, seeking a monopoly over various rice lines, including some that had characteristics similar to Basmati. India, however, requested a re-examination of this patent in 2000 on the grounds of 'prior art'. The patentee, in response to this request, withdrew several claims.

However, the above examples are only a few in comparison with the voluminous cases of biopiracy in the recent past. Protection and preservation of TK has been a matter of concern to developing countries in general and India in particular. India successfully contested the grant of patents for non-original inventions in its TK system in the above cases. Grant of wrong patents at the international level happens due to the non-availability of information in a language known to an International Patent Examiner, as well as because the information is not in a retrievable form. The Department of Indian System of Medicine and Homoeopathy (ISM&H) formed an interdisciplinary Task Force consisting of Ayurveda experts from the Central Council of Research in Ayurveda and Siddha (CCRAS), the Department of ISM&H, Banaras Hindu University (BHU), Varanasi, patent examiners from the office of the Controller General of Patent, Design and Trade Marks, information technology experts from National Informatics Centre and scientists from CSIR. The Task Force carried out an extensive search for international patent databases and found that more than five hundred patent references on ninety medicinal plants appeared in USPTO databases alone. Out of these references on ninety medicinal plants, 80% were on seven medicinal plants of Indian origin. Out of 762 patents granted on medicinal plants by the USPTO, more than 45% of patents could be categorized as patents belonging to the Indian traditional knowledge system. (24) This situation is, however, not only alarming but dangerous.

It is due to this situation in the international scenario that documentation of TK has become the *sine qua non* not only for the present generation but also for the next generation. For the preservation, protection, and sharing of TK, recording and documentation are unquestioningly vital. The database, which will be created through the process of documentation, may be used as useful evidence in a patent case because it will be used as a 'prior art'. Databases could be an important tool inasmuch as they can be utilized practically and efficiently by several communities of people and, especially, by patent searching authorities. Though different institutions and countries may develop their databases, proper care should be taken to create standard databases. In that case, databases will be effective and useful at the international level. Before initiating documentation of TK, it is necessary to develop an appropriate classification scheme for structuring TK. These cases highlight not only the vulnerability of TK systems but also the critical role that documentation can play in establishing prior art claims and preventing biopiracy.

Documentation through Digital Libraries

Digital libraries initially evolved to support the preservation of cultural resources of human civilizations, especially in the form of rare documents and archival records, like, manuscripts, antiquarian books, paintings, photographs, historical records, etc. These documents not only have very limited access to scholars and the general public, but also most documents are not in a usable condition. If these documents are physically accessed regularly, there is a high probability of permanent damage to such rare items. Also, there are security and other reasons for restricted access. Sometimes we come across instances where priceless rare items are either lost, or stolen, or swapped with fake ones from the museums and archives, especially in India and other developing countries. Digitization of rare documents addresses some of these problems. The accessibility and visibility of these rare documents have increased manifold, and scholars across nations can now access these documents. Digitization of rare documents from personal collections, organizational collections, as well as community collections could help maintain national records of cultural heritage. Digital libraries presently embrace digitized documents as well as 'born digital' documents. Digitized documents are reproduced using the latest digitization technologies and stored in digital form. Digital libraries provide easy access to digital collections of documents where users can search and retrieve documents of users interests in a well-networked environment. A document can reside in a central computer server, but can concurrently be used by several users in a network. On the other hand, born-digital documents are for the first time produced in digital format and, later, may be substituted as analogue documents (like printed books).

International Scenario on Digitization Efforts

USA

Digital Libraries Initiative-phase 2 (DLI-2) by National Science Foundation, DARPA, National Library of Medicine, Library of Congress, National Endowment for the Humanities.

DARPA's Information Management program addresses (www.dapra.mil/ito/research/in) core digital library issues requiring revolutionary research technology.

Illinois DLI project (http://dli.grainger.uiuc.edu)

Million Book University Digital Library Programme by Carnegie Mellon University

(www.ulib.org)

University of California, Berkeley, Digital Library project

National Archives of America (http://www.archives.gov/)

Europe

e-Europe initiative

(http://europa.eu.int/information_society/eeurope/2005/index_en.htm)

MINERVA (Ministerial Network for Valorizing Activities in Digitization)

This programme will create a network of European states to discuss, correlate, and harmonize activities carried out in the digitization of cultural and scientific content, for creating an agreed European common platform, guidelines for digitization, metadata, long-term accessibility, and preservation.

ASIA

Japan

Kyoto University Digital Library

Japanese Digital Museum, 2000

NTT Digital Museum

University of Tokyo Library

The Asia Digital Resource Project

Pacific Rim Digital Library

China

China Digital Library Programme (Participation of 21 Ministries of China)

It is the world's largest digital library, a database containing over 12 million documents.

Malaysia

The Digital Library network of Malaysia has a network of 21 libraries. They are also working towards the creation of a cultural information database.

Digital Library Initiatives and Digitization Programmes in India

In India, several digital library initiatives and digitization programmes have been initiated. Most of the digital library initiatives are government-funded. These initiatives aim to preserve and provide access to the knowledge and cultural heritage resources of India. These initiatives would also help to protect the rare, out-of-print, and inaccessible documentary resources from extinction. If these resources decay over time, their digital surrogates will exist forever. *Digital Library of India* (DLI) is the biggest national-level digital library initiative in India. This initiative is a part of the *Universal Library Project*, envisaged by Carnegie Mellon University, USA, which has some other international partners. DLI is coordinated by the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, and is supported by the Ministry of Communications and Information Technology, Government of India. This initiative covers scholarly materials, like, books, journals, manuscripts, conference papers, and reports. DLI in its Mission Statement declares: 'The mission is to create a portal for the Digital Library of India which will foster creativity and free access to all human knowledge. As a first step in realizing this mission, it is proposed to create a Digital Library with a free-to-read, searchable collection of one million books, predominantly in Indian languages, available to everyone over the Internet. This portal will also become an aggregator of all the knowledge and digital content created by other digital library initiatives in India. Very soon, we expect that this portal will provide a gateway to Indian Digital Libraries in science, arts, culture, music, movies, traditional medicine, palm leaves, and many more.' The digitization of materials for this initiative is carried out in some organizations, spread all over India, including 20 mega scanning centers.

Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) is another project that is engaged in the creation of digital objects in the areas of traditional knowledge of India, flora and fauna of Indian origin, and geographical indicators; especially documenting knowledge resources from the original texts of Indian systems of medicine, like, Ayurveda, Unani, Siddha, Yoga, Naturopathy and Tribal Medicine. This initiative also helps India to retain its biodiversity and intellectual property rights. TKDL is coordinated and implemented by the National Institute of Science Communication and Information Resources (NISCAIR) with collaboration from the Department of Ayurveda, Yoga and Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha and Homoeopathy (AYUSH), Office of the Controller General of Patents, Designs and Trademarks, and a few other Government of India organizations. It is expected to cost approximately 2.5 million dollars. The work on the creation of TKDL began in October 2001. Initially, the team of twelve Ayurveda experts and four scientists began work on the transcription of Sanskrit *shlokas* into Traditional Knowledge Resource Classification (TKRC). The complete team at present consists of twenty-nine Ayurveda experts, five Information Technology (IT) specialists, two patent examiners, four scientists, and three technical officers. TKDL (Ayurveda) was created based on codified traditional knowledge related to the Indian systems of medicine, and, in the first phase, information contained in fourteen Ayurvedic texts, listed in the Indian Drugs and Cosmetics Act, was incorporated. Thirty-six thousand formulations have been transcribed in the patent application format in five international languages, viz. English, French, German, Spanish, and Japanese. TKDL is also being created for the Unani system of Medicine for seventy-seven thousand formulations from forty-two Unani texts, which are in Arabic, Persian, and Urdu. The TKDL database shall act as a bridge between ancient Sanskrit/Unani verses and a Patent Examiner at a global level. It is expected that the gap due to the lack of 'prior art' or 'knowledge' will be minimized. NISCAIR is also undertaking another digital library initiative, the *National Science Digital Library* (NSDL), that will disseminate information on the broad spectrum of science and technology. Currently, India is the only country in the world with such a TKDL. Other countries, for example, Iran, South Korea, China, Thailand, South Africa, etc., have shown keen interest in taking up similar initiatives for the protection of their own TK. Documentation of TK is essential for the preservation, protection, and wealth creation of TK (25). TK resource classification, developed by India, has gained wider international acceptance and has become a basis for enhancing international patent classification for medicinal plants. (26) Access to a database of TKDL should be regulated as per Indian requirements and policies. The main objective of TKDL is to preserve, safeguard, protect, and get recognition both for TK and our cultural heritage on the national and international levels. This database may help us:

1. Promote documentation, preserve, and maintain TK.
2. Provide a means to assist patent search procedures and identify prior art.
3. Identify communities that might be entitled to benefit sharing and assigned exclusive rights.
4. Provide the means for recording the existence of TK over which positive rights have been recognized under national or customary law.
5. Serve as the mechanism for obtaining protection of TK to sui generic database protection. (27)

The digitization programme of the *National Mission for Manuscripts* (NMM) is another initiative to preserve knowledge resources available in the form of Manuscripts. The National Mission for Manuscripts spreads over India through several Manuscript Resource Centers (27) and Manuscript Conservation Centers (20). The NMM has already identified a few thousand manuscripts in Indian languages through a nationwide survey. It has started pilot projects of the digitization of manuscripts that will be integrated into a national digital library of manuscripts.

Indira Gandhi National Centre for Arts (IGNCA) has established a Digital Library, known as *Kalasampada: Digital Library-Resource for Indian Cultural Heritage* (DL-RICH), which is supported by the Ministry of Communications and Information Technology, Government of India. It includes non-printed as well as printed materials, including audio, video, slides, microfilms, manuscripts, and photographs.

The *National Library of India* has initiated a digitization programme, known as 'Down the Memory Lane', to digitize rare books, manuscripts, and resources from its collection. Similarly, the *Central Secretariat Library* has initiated a digitization programme to digitize some government publications, such as the Gazette of India, Commission and Committee Reports, and Annual Reports of the Ministries. Some other organizations are digitizing their publications, including journals, theses, research reports, and some rare materials from their collections. The initiatives undertaken by different organizations in India are mainly academic and research-oriented. However, useful information for the people, such as land records, cartographic materials, legal judgements, policy documents, parliamentary discussions, official forms for applicants, etc., is also being digitized. Corporate houses, too, are digitizing their in-house working documents for creating institutional archives. However, most of these digitization initiatives are done in isolation without any coordination with other similar institutions. While reviewing these initiatives, it is seen that most of these efforts are funded either by the different government departments or important and solvent institutions. Many of them are also undertaken as one-time projects with a grant, often without any proper planning for future continuity. Therefore, if one analyzes these activities, many unaddressed issues may be raised, such as:

- The objectives of the projects
- The rationale for content selection
- Preservation methods
- Access mechanism
- Coordination with other similar organizational initiatives
- Cost factor and sustainability, etc.

Just as library automation was done in a very fragmented manner in India thirty years ago, digitization activities are equally fragmented and diversified. This is in sharp contrast to some countries that have undertaken digitization and the setting up of digital libraries in a planned manner with policies, strategies, and structures well thought out, keeping in mind the holistic view of national need. While India's digital library initiatives demonstrate significant progress, the integration of these systems remains fragmented. A unified national framework would enhance the effectiveness of TK protection by creating interoperable databases that allow for cross-referencing and comprehensive search capabilities across different domains of traditional knowledge.

Problems and Barriers

While undertaking digitization activities, information and technical professionals have to face multiple problems and barriers in the Indian context:

Digitization Policy

The Digitization Policy for India cannot be formulated in isolation, but should rather be integrated within the broad spectrum of the Information Policy in the new environment. Therefore, the Digitization policy has to also consider the priorities of information flow and services within the broader context of development. The main factors for development relating to the following need to be taken into consideration while preparing a policy framework:

- Education and lifelong learning for all citizens
- Information to enhance participation in the socio-economic arena in a democratic set-up
- Business and economy, training, and employment
- Traditional knowledge and cultural heritage preservation
- Historical evidence and community history

Copyright / IPR Issues

Issues of copyright, intellectual property, and fair use concerns are posing an unprecedented array of problems to libraries, and librarians are struggling to cope with all these related issues in the new digital information environment.

Service Issues

Memory Requirement: The culture sector would require comprehensive *technical solutions* that are sustainable, i.e., they must be robust, have a broad applicability, and take into account the ability to handle large numbers of records and be consistent across categories.

Standardization: The appropriate application of technical standards by those involved in digitization activities is essential if the digitized collections are to be maintainable in a cost-effective way over time.

Quality Improvement: A framework is required that describes quality in terms of the underlying technical characteristics provided by individual sites or subject gateways in terms of the quality of service provided to the users.

Legal Issues

Copyright: Investigation of the legal position concerning making digital copies of the relevant source materials as the initial stage of the digital imaging project.

Information Security: Taking steps to prevent **unauthorized changes** in the digital files created during the project.

Legislation: Ensure that the project complies with appropriate local legal deposit legislation.

Digital Rights Management: Evolution of **Digital Rights Management** structure.

Multilingual Issues

- Character Sets (UNICODE)
- Representations
- Multilingual Navigation
- Translation Assistance

Social Issues

- Development of a user-friendly interface for better access to information by the physically challenged.
- Affordable access to digitized resources.

Access to bridge the Digital Divide

The Indian society essentially believes in hierarchy, and there is more than just one divide within the Indian scenario. The age-old socio-religious caste system, economic class system, literates and illiterates, gender divide, etc., are all fissures that still prevail within the Indian socio-cultural and politico-economic systems. The digital divide is a new phenomenon that will have long-term effects as India gradually enters the Information society in a big way.

Conclusion

The rising significance of TK and growing concerns about its erosion has compelled nations to implement comprehensive protection strategies. India's pioneering efforts, particularly through the TKDL initiative, have established a global benchmark for digital documentation of traditional knowledge. These digital repositories serve not merely as archives but as active defensive mechanisms against biopiracy, enabling patent examiners worldwide to access relevant prior art information.

Digital technology offers transformative potential to bridge information disparities between 'the information poor' and 'the information rich' while systematizing valuable knowledge that forms the foundation of India's intellectual heritage. The success of the TKDL model demonstrates that technology, when properly deployed, can preserve cultural heritage while simultaneously protecting economic interests and ensuring just benefit-sharing mechanisms.

However, the future of TK protection demands sustained investment in digital infrastructure, continuous updating of databases, and enhanced international cooperation. A comprehensive policy framework must address not only technological challenges but also ensure that indigenous communities have agency in managing their knowledge assets.

In India's diverse multicultural landscape, robust technical infrastructure becomes imperative to serve the vast majority effectively. The strategic deployment of digital technology can penetrate numerous Indian communities, democratizing access to knowledge repositories previously beyond their reach. Such accessibility empowers communities to engage actively with their heritage while benefiting from modern technological advances.

The convergence of information technology and traditional knowledge protection represents more than just a preservation effort; it embodies India's commitment to intellectual property rights, cultural sovereignty, and sustainable development. As India progresses toward

becoming a knowledge-based economy, the integration of TK protection through digital means exemplifies how traditional wisdom and modern innovation can coexist productively, creating pathways for inclusive economic growth and cultural continuity.

Notes

(1.M. Johnson (1992). 'Research on Traditional Environmental Knowledge: Its Development and its Role' in M. Johnson, ed., *Lore: Capturing Traditional Environmental Knowledge*, Dene Cultural Institute.
2. WIPO, 'Intellectual Property Needs and Expectations of Traditional Knowledge Holders', WIPO Report on Fact Finding Mission on IP and TK (1998-1999), Geneva, April 2001, WIPO (2001 Report).
3. Ibid., p.25.
4. Ibid.
5. Vide supra 3 at 23.
6. Ibid.
7. Vide supra 3 at 24.
8. Vide Darrell Posey and Graham Duffield (1996). *Beyond Intellectual Property*, International Development Research Centre, Ottawa.
9. Dr. John Mugabe, Executive Director, 'Intellectual Property Protection and TK: An Exploration in International Policy Discourse', African Centre for Technology Studies, Nairobi, Kenya.
10. Natalie P. Stoianoff (2004). 'Biological Resources and Benefit Sharing: The Intersection between TK and IP' in *Intellectual Property Rights: A Global Vision* edited by S.K. Verma & Raman Mittal, Indian Law Institute, 2004.
11. Elements of a sui generic system for the protection of TK, WIPO, Intergovernmental Committee on 'Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore', 3rd Session, 2002, WIPO/GRTKF/IC/3/8.
12. Generally, these two terms are used interchangeably, but some writers have distinguished between these two terms.
13. WHO, International Union for Conservation of Nature and Worldwide Fund for Nature (1993): Guidelines for Conservation of Medicinal Plants, Gland: IUCN.
14. Robert Kneller, 'Ownership of Biological Materials and Sharing of Benefits from their Development: A few Points for Consideration from the Perspective of TK Providers and Indigenous Peoples' in *Intellectual Property Rights: A Global Vision,* edited by S.K. Verma & Raman Mittal, Indian Law Institute, 2004.
15. Stuart Macdonald, 'Exploring the Hidden Costs of Patents' in *Global Intellectual Property Rights: Knowledge, Access and Development*, edited by Peter Drahos and Ruth Mayne, 2002.
16. Vide WIPO/GRTKF/IC/2/6, Paragraphs 53-54.
17. Vide Rule 33.1(a) Regulations under the PCT
18. Vide Article 15(4) of PCT.
19. Terrell, T. (2000). *Law of Patents*, 15th edition, London: Sweet & Maxwell.
20. Vide Holyoak, Jan & Torremans, Paul (1998). *Intellectual Property Law*, Second Edition, London: Butterworths.
21. US Patent Number 5401504 on 'Use of Turmeric in Wound-Healing', 1995.
22. European Patent Number 0436257 of 1994.
23. US Patent number 5663484 of 1997.
24. Vide http://itt.nissat.tripod.com/itt0103/tkdl.htm.IIK
25. Vide http://www.accu.or.jp/ich/en/pdf/c2005subreg_md1.pdf
26. Ibid.
27. UNU-IAS Report, 'The Role of Registers and Databases in the Protection of TK: A Comparative Analysis'. (Available at www.ias.unu.edu/binaries/UNUIAS_TKRegistersReport.pdf)

References

Dasgupta, K. (2005, August 14-18). *Digitization, sustainability and access in the Indian context* [Conference presentation]. RSCAO, IFLA, World Library and Information Congress: 71st IFLA General Conference and Council, Oslo, Norway.

Holyoak, J., & Torremans, P. (1998). *Intellectual Property Law* (2nd ed.). Butterworths.

International Conference on Digital Libraries. (2004, February 24-27). *Digital libraries 2004: Knowledge creation, preservation, access and management* (Vols. 1 & 2). TERI.

Johnson, M. (1992). Research on traditional environmental knowledge. In M. Johnson (Ed.), *Lore: Capturing traditional environmental knowledge*. Dene Cultural Institute.

Kneller, R. (2004). Ownership of biological materials and sharing of benefits from their development: A few points for consideration from the perspective of TK providers and indigenous peoples. In S. K. Verma & R. Mittal (Eds.), *Intellectual property rights: A global vision*. Indian Law Institute.

Limb, P. (2004). Digital dilemmas and solutions. *Chandos Publishing*.

Macdonald, S. (2002). Exploring the hidden costs of patents. In P. Drahos & R. Mayne (Eds.), *Global intellectual property rights: Knowledge, access and development* (pp. xx-xx). Palgrave Macmillan.

Mugabe, J. (n.d.). *Intellectual property protection and TK: An exploration in international policy discourse*. African Centre for Technology Studies.

Peters, D., & Pickover, M. (2001). DISA: Insights of an African model for digital library development. *D-Lib Magazine, 7*(11), 6-11.

Posey, D., & Duffield, G. (1996). *Beyond intellectual property*. International Development Research Centre.

Sreekumar, M. G., & Sreejaya, P. (n.d.). Digital library initiatives and issues in India: Efforts on scholarly knowledge management. *Indian Institute of Management, Kozhikode*.

Stoianoff, N. P. (2004). Biological resources and benefit sharing: The intersection between TK and IP. In S. K. Verma & R. Mittal (Eds.), *Intellectual property rights: A global vision*. Indian Law Institute.

TDIL Programme, Department of IT, Ministry of Communications and IT, New Delhi. (n.d.).

Terrell, T. (2000). *Law of patents* (15th ed.). Sweet & Maxwell.

Vyas, S. D., & Singh, D. K. (2002, February 26-27). *Digital libraries: Problems, issues and challenges*. In S. Parthan & V. K. J. Jeevan (Eds.), *Proceedings of the National Conference on Information Management in E-Libraries* (pp. 304-311). Allied Publishers Ltd.

WHO, International Union for Conservation of Nature, & Worldwide Fund for Nature. (1993). *Guidelines for the conservation of medicinal plants*. IUCN.

WIPO. (2001). *Intellectual property needs and expectations of traditional knowledge holders: WIPO report on fact-finding mission on IP and TK (1998-1999)*. Geneva.

WIPO. (2002). *Intergovernmental Committee on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore* (3rd Session, WIPO/GRTKF/IC/3/8).

Electronic Resources

http://itt.nissat.tripod.com/itt0103/tkdl.htm.IIK

http://www.dapra.mil/ito/research/in

http://dli.grainger.uiuc.edu

http://www.ulib.org

http://www.archives.gov

http://europa.eu.int/information_society/eeurope/2005/index_en.htm

http://www.accu.or.jp/ich/en/pdf/c2005subreg_md1.pdf

www.ias.unu.edu/binaries/UNUIAS_TKRegistersReport.pdf - UNU-IAS Report, 'The Role of Registers and Databases in the Protection of TK: A Comparative Analysis'.

http://eprints.relis.org/archive/00001930/01/sustainlrfinal.doc - Hamilton, Val. 'Sustainability for Digital Libraries', pp 1-5.

http://www.worldbank.org/afr/ik/default.htm - 'Nurturing Traditional Knowledge Systems for Development', IK Notes, No.61, October 2003.

http://www.iisd.org/pdf/2007/igsd_traditional_knowledge.pdf - 'Traditional Knowledge and Sustainable Development' by Ashish Kothari, International Institute for Sustainable Development, Canada, September 2007.